1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Criminal Chief		
4 5 6 7 8	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061 FAX: (408) 535-5066 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 11-00456 EJD	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSITIO]	
16	v.	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
17	SANFORD WALLACE,		
18	Defendant.	SAN JOSE VENUE	
19	The yardensioned neutice mean estfully a	agreest that the atotics bearing arramently solveduled	
20 21	The undersigned parties respectfully request that the status hearing currently scheduled		
22	for August 6, 2012 be continued to October 1, 2012. The reason for the continuance is that K.C. Maxwell, who represents the defendant, is continuing her investigation. In addition, the		
23	parties need to meet and confer about the case. The parties also request an exclusion of time		
24	under the Speedy Trial Act from August 6, 2012 through October 1, 2012. The parties agree and		
25	stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective		
26	preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
27			
28	//		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 11-00456 EJD	1	

1	SO STIPULATED:	MELINDA HAAG
2		United States Attorney
3	DATED: 7/30/12	/s/ SUSAN KNIGHT Assistant United States Attorney
4		Assistant Office States Attorney
5	DATED: 7/30/12	/s/
6		K.C. MAXWELL Counsel for Mr. Wallace
7		
8	<u>ORDER</u>	
9	Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the status hearing	
10	scheduled for August 6, 2012 is continued to October 1, 2012.	
11	The Court FURTHER ORDERS that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from	
12	August 6, 2012 through October 1, 2012. The Court finds, based on the aforementioned reasons,	
13	that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of	
14	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance	
15	would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into	
16	account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The Court	
17	therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A)	
18	and (B)(iv).	
19	SO ORDERED.	
20		- A
21	DATED: July 30, 2012	Earl O Wash
22		EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		